Retention in HIV care predicts subsequent retention and predicts survival well after the first year of care: a national study of US Veterans

<u>Thomas P. Giordano, MD, MPH</u>, Jessica A. Davila, PhD, Christine Hartman, PhD, Peter Richardson, PhD, Crystal Stafford, MPH, and Maria C. Rodriguez-Barradas, MD

Houston Health Services Research and Development Center of Excellence, Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, and the Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA





Supported by VA HSR&D grant IBB 09-033 and the facilities and resources of the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston.

The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

None of the authors has any conflicts of interest related to the content of this presentation.



Background

- Retention in HIV care during the initial years of care impacts survival. ^{1,2}
- Whether subsequent retention continues to influence survival is unknown.
- The impact of prior poor retention on subsequent retention is also poorly described.
- The VA system is one of the largest single providers of HIV care in the US.³
- We sought to identify if poor retention predicted future poor retention and whether retention in later years of HIV care impacts survival.



¹Giordano, *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2007; 44:1493 ²Mugavero et al. *Clin Infect Dis 2009;48:248-56* ³Backus, *Arch Intern Med* 2010; 170:1239-1246.

Methods

- <u>Design</u>: Retrospective cohort study.
- <u>Data source</u>: Clinical Case Registry (CCR), a national VA database of all HIV-infected veterans who received care at all VA facilities; each case is locally validated as HIVpositive.⁴
- <u>Time frame</u>: Persons identified in VA with HIV between January 1998 and December 2008, with follow-up through December 2009.
- <u>VA HIV date</u>: The date of identification of HIV-positive status in the VA, using laboratory, pharmacy, and ICD-9 data.
- <u>Retention in care</u>: Number of 4-month blocks per year with ≥ 1 visit (0, 1, 2, or 3 of 3).
- <u>Exposure Period</u>: Retention in care, median VL, and median CD4 from the year prior to the year of interest. To ensure measurement of variables during the exposure period, persons who died during the exposure period were excluded.
- <u>Analysis of Subsequent Retention in Care</u>: Ordinal logistic regression (4-level outcome) for predictors of current retention in care, using retention in care in the previous year as a predictor.
- <u>Retention over Time</u>: Graphically demonstrated with a Kaplan-Meier analysis of time to first gap in care >180 days.
- <u>Analysis of Survival</u>: Cox proportional hazards regression models of death after Year 1 with Retention in Care measured as a time up-dated variable reflecting the proportion of four-month blocks during follow-up with a visit, lagged one year.



22,880 unique patients with first HIV date after 1/1/1998

Results



19,102 unique patients in analysis (83.5% of original cohort)



Figure 1: Defining the Cohort

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics

	%	
Sex		
Females	2.9	
Males	97.1	
Race		
Black	50.8	
White	35.3	
Hispanic	5.3	
Other, unk.	8.7	
Age		
<40	23.1	
40-49	37.8	
50+	39.1	
Mean (SD)	46.9 (10.4)	

	%	
CD4 cell count (first)		
≤ 200	28.5	
200-500	34.0	
> 500	24.2	
Missing	13.3	
Mean (SD)	370 (290)	
HIV viral load (first)		
< 500	24.3	
500-100k	40.1	
> 100,000	18.4	
Missing	17.3	
Year of first HIV		
1998-2000	31.1	
2001-2008	68.9	
Follow-up after first yr (yrs)	5.1 (3.1)	

Table 2: Retention in Care over Time



Time to First Gap in Care >180 days



- Mean follow-up 5.1 years (SD 3.1) after Year 1
- 3765 deaths in follow-up after Year 1







Table 3: Previous Retention Predicts Subsequent Retention

	Predicting Better Retention in Care in Years 2, 4, and 6		
	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value	
Year 2 Model			
3/3 blocks with appt in Year 1	20.5 (18.2, 23.1)	<0.0001	
2/3 blocks with appt in Year 1	6.69 (5.93, 7.56)	<0.0001	
1/3 blocks with appt in Year 1	2.33 (2.06, 2.63)	<0.0001	
0/3 blocks with appt in Year 1	Referent		
Year 4 Model			
3/3 blocks with appt in Year 3	43.0 (38.0, 48.6)	<0.0001	
2/3 blocks with appt in Year 3	15.8 (14.0, 17.9)	<0.0001	
1/3 blocks with appt in Year 3	6.57 (5.78, 7.46)	<0.0001	
0/3 blocks with appt in Year 3	Referent		
Year 6 model			
3/3 blocks with appt in Year 5	42.7 (37.0, 49.3)	<0.0001	
2/3 blocks with appt in Year 5	16.8 (14.5, 19.4)	<0.0001	
1/3 blocks with appt in Year 5	8.41 (7.23, 9.80)	<0.0001	
0/3 blocks with appt in Year 5	Referent	0.96 (0.85, 1.09)	
All models adjusted for sex race age era baseline CD4 baseline VI previous year's CD4 and previous year's VI			

CD4, Dasellile VL, previous years CD4, and previous years vL. All HIOUEIS aujusteu IOI SEA, Tace, age, eta, baselille

Table 4: Cumulative Retention Predicts Mortality

	Predicting Mortality			
	Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	P-value		
Retention in Care (Time-updated, cumulative percent of 4-month intervals with at least one visit, lagged one year)				
81-100%	Referent			
61-80%	1.43 (1.30, 1.56)	<0.0001		
41-60%	1.64 (1.47, 1.83)	<0.0001		
21-40%	1.83 (1.64, 2.04)	<0.0001		
0-20%	1.55 (1.39, 1.72)	<0.0001		
Model adjusted for sex, race, age, era, baseline CD4, and baseline VL.				



Discussion

- Retention in care drops quickly in the first 2 years of care, then drifts down more slowly.
- Prior retention in care strongly predicts future retention in care.
- Some persons with excellent retention in care appear to sustain that, but 25% are at risk for a decrease in status.
- Some persons with very poor retention in care appear to improve that, but most do not.
- With a mean of over 5 years follow-up, cumulative retention in care during follow-up continues to predict survival, even after adjusting for baseline CD4 cell count and VL.
- Limitations:
 - Cannot account for care outside the VA system (though all deaths are captured).



Conclusions

- Prior retention in care strongly predicts future retention in care.
- Retention in care during all phases of HIV care, not just the initial years, predicts survival.



Supported by VA HSR&D grant IBB 09-033 and the facilities and resources of the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston. The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs.